



Detailed Costing Document For The Centralized Waste Treatment Industry

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | |
|-----------|--|------|
| Section 1 | Costs Development | 1-1 |
| 1.1 | Technology Costs | 1-1 |
| 1.2 | Option Costs | 1-4 |
| 1.2.1 | Land Requirements and Costs | 1-6 |
| 1.2.2 | Operation and Maintenance Costs | 1-6 |
| Section 2 | Physical/Chemical Wastewater Treatment Technology Costs | 2-1 |
| 2.1 | Chemical Precipitation | 2-1 |
| 2.1.1 | Selective Metals Precipitation - Metals Option 2 and Metals Option 3 | 2-1 |
| 2.1.2 | Secondary Precipitation - Metals Option 2 and Metals Option 3 | 2-12 |
| 2.1.3 | Tertiary Precipitation and pH Adjustment - Metals Option 3 | 2-21 |
| 2.1.4 | Primary Chemical Precipitation - Metals Option 4 | 2-31 |
| 2.1.5 | Secondary (Sulfide) Precipitation- Metals Option 4 | 2-43 |
| 2.2 | Plate and Frame Liquid Filtration and Clarification | 2-51 |
| 2.2.1 | Plate and Frame Liquid Filtration Following Selective Metals Precipitation - Metals Options 2 and 3 | 2-52 |
| 2.2.2 | Clarification - Metals Options 2, 3 and 4 | 2-57 |
| 2.3 | Equalization | 2-67 |
| 2.4 | Air Stripping | 2-71 |
| 2.5 | Multi-Media Filtration | 2-76 |
| 2.6 | Cyanide Destruction | 2-81 |
| 2.7 | Secondary Gravity Separation | 2-87 |
| 2.8 | Dissolved Air Flotation | 2-92 |
| Section 3 | Biological Wastewater Treatment Technology Costs | 3-1 |
| 3.1 | Sequencing Batch Reactors | 3-1 |
| Section 4 | Sludge Treatment and Disposal Costs | 4-1 |
| 4.1 | Plate and Frame Pressure Filtration - Sludge Stream | 4-1 |
| 4.2 | Filter Cake Disposal | 4-12 |
| Section 5 | Additional Costs | 5-1 |
| 5.1 | Retrofit Costs | 5-1 |
| 5.2 | Monitoring Costs | 5-2 |
| 5.3 | RCRA Permit Modification Costs | 5-5 |
| 5.4 | Land Costs | 5-6 |
| Section 6 | References | 6-1 |

LIST OF TABLES

| | | |
|-------|---|------|
| 1-1. | Standard Capital Cost Algorithm | 1-2 |
| 1-2. | Standard Operation and Maintenance Cost Factor Breakdown | 1-3 |
| 1-3. | CWT Treatment Technology Costing Index - A Guide to the Costing Methodology Sections | 1-4 |
| 2-1. | Total Capital Cost Estimates for Selective Metals Precipitation - Metals Options 2 and 3 | 2-2 |
| 2-2. | Land Requirement Estimates for Selective Metals Precipitation - Metals Options 2 and 3 | 2-4 |
| 2-3. | Lime and Caustic Requirements for Selective Metals Precipitation - Metals Options 2 and 3 | 2-6 |
| 2-4. | O&M Cost Estimates for Selective Metals Precipitation - Metals Options 2 and 3 | 2-7 |
| 2-5. | 75% Lime and 25% Caustic Credits for Selective Metals Precipitation Upgrades (Raw to Current Removals) - Metals Options 2 and 3 | 2-10 |
| 2-6. | 60% Lime and 40% Caustic Requirements for Selective Metals Precipitation Upgrades (Raw to Current Removals) - Metals Options 2 and 3 | 2-11 |
| 2-7. | O&M Upgrade Cost Estimates - Selective Metals Precipitation (Raw to Current Removals) - Metals Options 2 and 3 | 2-12 |
| 2-8. | Total Capital Cost Estimates for Secondary Precipitation - Metals Options 2 and 3 | 2-14 |
| 2-9. | Land Requirement Estimates for Secondary Precipitation - Metals Options 2 and 3 | 2-15 |
| 2-10. | Lime Requirements for Secondary Precipitation - Metals Options 2 and 3 | 2-18 |
| 2-11. | O&M Cost Estimates for Secondary Precipitation - Metals Options 2 and 3 | 2-19 |
| 2-12. | O&M Upgrade Cost Estimates for Secondary Precipitation - Metals Options 2 and 3 | 2-20 |
| 2-13. | Total Capital Cost Estimates for Rapid Mix Tanks - Metals Option 3 | 2-23 |
| 2-14. | Total Capital Cost Estimates for pH Adjustment Tanks - Metals Option 3 | 2-23 |
| 2-15. | Land Requirement Estimates for Tertiary Precipitation Tanks - Metals Option 3 | 2-25 |
| 2-16. | Lime Requirements for Tertiary Chemical Precipitation - Metals Option 3 | 2-28 |
| 2-17. | O&M Cost Estimates for Rapid Mix Tanks - Metals Option 3 | 2-29 |
| 2-18. | O&M Cost Estimates for pH Adjustment Tanks - Metals Option 3 | 2-29 |
| 2-19. | Total Capital Cost Estimates for Primary Chemical Precipitation - Metals Option 4 | 2-32 |
| 2-20. | Holding Tank Total Capital Cost Estimates for Chemical Precipitation - Metals Option 4 | 2-33 |

LIST OF TABLES (cont.)

| | | |
|-------|---|------|
| 2-21. | Land Requirement Estimates for Chemical Precipitation - Metals Option 4 | 2-35 |
| 2-22. | Lime and Caustic Requirements for Primary Chemical Precipitation Systems - Metals Option 4 | 2-38 |
| 2-23. | O&M Cost Estimates for Primary Chemical Precipitation - Metals Option 4 | 2-39 |
| 2-24. | Lime and Caustic Requirements for Primary Chemical Precipitation Upgrades - Metals Option 4 - Primary Treatment In-place | 2-41 |
| 2-25. | Lime and Caustic Requirements for Primary Chemical Precipitation Upgrades - Metals Option 4 - Secondary Treatment In-place | 2-42 |
| 2-26. | O&M Cost Estimates for Primary Chemical Precipitation TIP - Metals Option 4 | 2-44 |
| 2-27. | O&M Upgrade Cost Estimates for Secondary Chemical Precipitation TIP - Metals Option 4 | 2-44 |
| 2-28. | Total Capital Cost Estimates for Secondary (Sulfide) Precipitation - Metals Option 4 | 2-47 |
| 2-29. | Land Requirement Estimates for Secondary (Sulfide) Precipitation - Metals Option 4 | 2-48 |
| 2-30. | O&M Cost Estimates for Sulfide Precipitation Systems - Metals Option 4 | 2-50 |
| 2-31. | Total Capital Cost Estimates for Plate and Frame Pressure Filtration - Metals Options 2 and 3 - Selective Metals Precipitation | 2-53 |
| 2-32. | O&M Cost Estimates for Plate and Frame Pressure Filtration - Metals Options 2 and 3 - Selective Metals Precipitation | 2-56 |
| 2-33. | Total Capital Cost Estimates for Clarification Systems - Metals Options 2, 3, and 4 | 2-58 |
| 2-34. | O&M Cost Estimates for Clarification Systems - Metals Options 2 and 4 | 2-61 |
| 2-35. | O&M Cost Estimates for Clarification Systems - Metals Option 3 | 2-62 |
| 2-36. | Design Parameters Used for Equalization in CAPDET Program | 2-67 |
| 2-37. | Total Capital Cost, O&M Cost, and Land Requirement Estimates for Equalization Systems | 2-69 |
| 2-38. | Total Capital Cost Estimates for Air Stripping Systems | 2-72 |
| 2-39. | O&M Cost Estimates for Air Stripping Systems | 2-75 |
| 2-40. | Total Capital Cost Estimates for Multi-Media Filtration Systems | 2-77 |
| 2-41. | Land Requirement Estimates for Multi-Media Filtration Systems | 2-79 |
| 2-42. | O&M Cost Estimates for Multi-Media Filtration Systems | 2-80 |
| 2-43. | Total Capital Cost Estimates for Cyanide Destruction at Special Operating Conditions | 2-83 |

LIST OF TABLES (cont.)

| | | |
|-------|---|-------|
| 2-44. | O&M Cost Estimates for Cyanide Destruction at Special Operating Conditions | 2-86 |
| 2-45. | Total Capital Cost Estimates for Secondary Gravity Separation | 2-88 |
| 2-46. | Land Requirement Estimates for Secondary Gravity Separation | 2-89 |
| 2-47. | O&M Cost Estimates for Secondary Gravity Separation | 2-91 |
| 2-48. | Total Capital Cost Estimates for DAF Systems | 2-93 |
| 2-49. | Total Capital Cost Estimates for Modified DAF Systems | 2-93 |
| 2-50. | Holding Tank Capacity Estimates for DAF Systems | 2-95 |
| 2-51. | Total Capital Cost Estimates for Holding Tank Systems | 2-96 |
| 2-52. | Land Requirement Estimates for DAF and Modified DAF Systems | 2-97 |
| 2-53. | Land Requirement Estimates for Holding Tank Systems | 2-99 |
| 2-54. | Labor Requirement Estimates for DAF Systems | 2-100 |
| 2-55. | O&M Cost Estimates for DAF Systems - Flow > 20 gpm | 2-102 |
| 2-56. | O&M Cost Estimates for Modified DAF Systems - Flow > 20 gpm | 2-103 |
| 2-57. | O&M Cost Estimates for DAF Systems - Flow ≤ 20 gpm | 2-103 |
| 2-58. | O&M Cost Estimates for Modified DAF Systems - Flow ≤ 20 gpm | 2-103 |
| 2-59. | O&M Cost Estimates for DAF Systems, Group 5 Facilities - Flow > 20 gpm | 2-107 |
| 2-60. | O&M Cost Estimates for Modified DAF Systems, Group 5 Facilities - Flow > 20 gpm | 2-107 |
| 2-61. | O&M Cost Estimates for DAF Systems, Group 5 Facilities - Flow ≤ 20 gpm | 2-107 |
| 2-62. | O&M Cost Estimates for Modified DAF Systems, Group 5 Facilities - Flow ≤ 20 gpm | 2-108 |
| 2-63. | O&M Upgrade Cost Estimates for DAF Systems - Flow ≤ 20 gpm | 2-112 |
| 2-64. | O&M Upgrade Cost Estimates for DAF Systems - Flow > 20 gpm | 2-113 |
| 2-65. | O&M Upgrade Cost Estimates for DAF Systems, Group 5 Facilities - Flow ≤ 20 gpm | 2-113 |
| 2-66. | O&M Upgrade Cost Estimates for DAF System, Group 5 Facilities - Flow > 20 gpm | 2-113 |
| 3-1. | Total Capital Cost Estimates for Sequencing Batch Reactor Systems | 3-2 |
| 3-2. | O&M Cost Estimates for Sequencing Batch Reactor Systems | 3-4 |
| 4-1. | Total Capital Cost Estimates for Plate and Frame Pressure Filtration (Sludge Stream) | 4-2 |
| 4-2. | O&M Cost Estimates for Plate and Frame Pressure Filtration - Metals Options 2 and 3 (Sludge Stream - Excluding Filter Cake Disposal Costs) | 4-5 |

LIST OF TABLES (cont.)

| | | |
|------|--|------|
| 4-3. | O&M Upgrade Cost Estimates for Plate and Frame Filtration - Metals Options 2 and 3 (Sludge Stream - Excluding Filter Cake Disposal Costs) | 4-7 |
| 4-4. | O&M Cost Estimates for Plate and Frame Pressure Filtration - Metals Option 4 (Sludge Stream - Including Filter Cake Disposal Costs) | 4-9 |
| 4-5. | O&M Upgrade Cost Estimates for Plate and Frame Filtration - Metals Option 4 (Sludge Stream - Including Filter Cake Disposal Costs) | 4-11 |
| 4-6. | CWT Metals Subcategory Filter Cake Disposal Costs | 4-14 |
| 4-7. | Filter Cake Disposal Cost Estimates for Plate and Frame Pressure Filtration Systems - Metals Options 2 and 3 | 4-15 |
| 5-1. | Monitoring Frequency Requirements | 5-3 |
| 5-2. | Analytical Cost Estimates | 5-4 |
| 5-3. | RCRA Permit Modification Costs Reported in WTI Questionnaires | 5-6 |
| 5-4. | Unimproved Land Costs for Suburban Areas | 5-7 |
| | Region: Northeast | 5-7 |
| | Region: North Central | 5-9 |
| | Region: South | 5-11 |
| | Region: West | 5-14 |
| 5-5. | Summary of Land Costs for Unimproved Suburban Areas | 5-17 |
| | Region: Northeast | 5-17 |
| | Region: North Central | 5-17 |
| | Region: South | 5-18 |
| | Region: West | 5-19 |
| 5-6. | State and Land Costs for the CWT Industry | 5-20 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| | | |
|-------|---|------|
| 2-1. | Total Capital Cost Curve for Selective Metals Precipitation - Metals Options 2 and 3 | 2-3 |
| 2-2. | Land Requirement Curve for Selective Metals Precipitation - Metals Options 2 and 3 | 2-4 |
| 2-3. | O&M Cost Curve for Selective Metals Precipitation - Metals Options 2 and 3 | 2-8 |
| 2-4. | O&M Upgrade Cost Curve for Selective Metals Precipitation (Raw to Current Removals) - Metals Options 2 and 3 | 2-9 |
| 2-5. | Total Capital Cost Curve for Secondary Precipitation - Metals Options 2 and 3 | 2-14 |
| 2-6. | Land Requirement Cost Curve for Secondary Precipitation - Metals Options 2 and 3 | 2-16 |
| 2-7. | O&M Cost Curve for Secondary Precipitation - Metals Options 2 and 3 | 2-17 |
| 2-8. | O&M Upgrade Cost Curve for Secondary Precipitation - Metals Options 2 and 3 | 2-21 |
| 2-9. | Total Capital Cost Curve for Rapid Mix Tanks - Metals Option 3 | 2-24 |
| 2-10. | Total Capital Cost Curve for pH Adjustment Tanks - Metals Option 3 | 2-24 |
| 2-11. | Land Requirement Curve for Rapid Mix Tanks - Metals Option 3 | 2-26 |
| 2-12. | Land Requirement Curve for pH Adjustment Tanks - Metals Option 3 | 2-26 |
| 2-13. | O&M Cost Curve for Rapid Mix Tanks - Metals Option 3 | 2-30 |
| 2-14. | O&M Cost Curve for pH Adjustment Tanks - Metals Option 3 | 2-30 |
| 2-15. | Total Capital Cost Curve for Primary Chemical Precipitation - Metals Option 4 | 2-33 |
| 2-16. | Holding Tank Total Capital Cost Curve for Primary Chemical Precipitation - Metals Option 4 | 2-34 |
| 2-17. | Land Requirement Curve for Primary Chemical Precipitation - Metals Option 4 | 2-35 |
| 2-18. | Land Requirement Curve for Holding Tank - Metals Option 4 | 2-36 |
| 2-19. | O&M Cost Curve for Primary Chemical Precipitation - Metals Option 4 | 2-37 |
| 2-20. | O&M Upgrade Cost Curve for Primary Chemical Precipitation - Metals Option 4 - Primary Treatment In-place | 2-45 |
| 2-21. | O&M Upgrade Cost Curve for Primary Chemical Precipitation - Metals Option 4 - Secondary Treatment In-place | 2-45 |
| 2-22. | Total Capital Cost Curve for Secondary (Sulfide) Precipitation Systems - Metals Option 4 | 2-47 |
| 2-23. | Land Requirement Curve for Secondary (Sulfide) Precipitation Systems - Metals Option 4 | 2-49 |

LIST OF FIGURES (cont.)

| | |
|---|------|
| 2-24. O&M Cost Curve for Secondary (Sulfide) Precipitation Systems - Metals Option 4 | 2-51 |
| 2-25. Plate and Frame Filtration (Liquid Stream) Total Capital Cost Curve for Selective Metals Precipitation - Metals Options 2 and 3 | 2-54 |
| 2-26. Plate and Frame Filtration (Liquid Stream) Land Requirement Curve for Selective Metals Precipitation - Metals Option 2 and 3 | 2-55 |
| 2-27. Plate and Frame Filtration (Liquid Stream) O&M Cost Curve for Selective Metals Precipitation - Metals Options 2 and 3 | 2-56 |
| 2-28. Total Capital Cost Curve or Clarification Systems - Metals Options 2, 3, and 4 | 2-59 |
| 2-29. Land Requirement Curve for Clarification Systems - Metals Options 2, 3, and 4 | 2-60 |
| 2-30. O&M Cost Curve for Clarification Systems - Metals Options 2 and 4 | 2-62 |
| 2-31. O&M Cost Curve for Clarification Systems - Metals Option 3 | 2-63 |
| 2-32. O&M Upgrade Cost Curve for Clarification Systems - Metals Options 2 and 3 | 2-65 |
| 2-33. Plate and Frame Filtration (Liquid Stream) O&M Upgrade Cost Curve for Primary Chemical Precipitation - Metals Options 2 and 3 | 2-65 |
| 2-34. Total Capital Cost Curve for Equalization Systems | 2-69 |
| 2-35. Land Requirement Curve for Equalization Systems | 2-70 |
| 2-36. O&M Cost Curve for Equalization Systems | 2-71 |
| 2-37. Total Capital Cost Curve for Air Stripper Systems | 2-73 |
| 2-38. Land Requirement Curve for Air Stripper Systems | 2-74 |
| 2-39. O&M Cost Curve for Air Stripping Systems | 2-76 |
| 2-40. Total Capital Cost Curve for Multi-Media Filtration Systems | 2-78 |
| 2-41. Land Requirement Curve for Multi-Media Filtration Systems | 2-79 |
| 2-42. O&M Cost Curve for Multi-Media Filtration Systems | 2-81 |
| 2-43. Total Capital Cost Curve for CN Destruction Systems at Special Operating Conditions | 2-83 |
| 2-44. Land Requirement Curve for CN Destruction Systems at Special Operating Conditions | 2-84 |
| 2-45. O&M Cost Curve for CN Destruction Systems at Special Operating Condition | 2-86 |
| 2-46. Total Capital Costs for Secondary Gravity Separation | 2-88 |
| 2-47. Land Requirement Curve for Secondary Gravity Separation | 2-90 |

LIST OF FIGURES (cont.)

| | | |
|-------|---|-------|
| 2-48. | O&M Cost Curve for Secondary Gravity Separation | 2-91 |
| 2-49. | Total Capital Cost Curve for DAF Systems | 2-94 |
| 2-50. | Total Capital Cost Curve for Modified DAF Systems | 2-94 |
| 2-51. | Total Capital Cost Curve for Holding Tanks | 2-96 |
| 2-52. | Land Requirement Curve for DAF and Modified DAF Systems | 2-98 |
| 2-53. | Land Requirement Curve for Holding Tanks | 2-99 |
| 2-54. | O&M Cost Curve for DAF Systems - Flow > 20 gpm | 2-104 |
| 2-55. | O&M Cost Curve for Modified DAF Systems - Flow > 20 gpm | 2-104 |
| 2-56. | O&M Cost Curve for DAF Systems - Flow ≤ 20 gpm | 2-105 |
| 2-57. | O&M Cost Curve for Modified DAF Systems - Flow ≤ 20 gpm | 2-105 |
| 2-58. | O&M Cost Curve for Group 5 DAF Systems - Flow > 20 gpm | 2-108 |
| 2-59. | O&M Cost Curve for Group 5 Modified DAF Systems - Flow > 20 gpm | 2-109 |
| 2-60. | O&M Cost Curve for Group 5 DAF Systems - Flow ≤ 20 gpm | 2-109 |
| 2-61. | O&M Cost Curve for Group 5 Modified DAF Systems - Flow ≤ 20 gpm | 2-110 |
| 2-62. | O&M Upgrade Cost Curve for DAF Systems - Flow ≤ 20 gpm | 2-114 |
| 2-63. | O&M Upgrade Cost Curve for DAF Systems - Flow > 20 gpm | 2-114 |
| 2-64. | O&M Upgrade Cost Curve for Group 5 DAF Systems - Flow ≤ 20 gpm | 2-115 |
| 2-65. | O&M Upgrade Cost Curve for Group 5 DAF Systems - Flow > 20 gpm | 2-115 |
| 3-1. | Total Capital Cost Curve for Sequencing Batch reactor Systems | 3-2 |
| 3-2. | Land Requirement Curve for Sequencing Batch Reactor Systems | 3-3 |
| 3-3. | O&M Cost Curve for Sequencing Batch Reactor Systems | 3-5 |
| 4-1. | Plate and Frame Filtration (Sludge Stream) Capital Cost Curve - All Metals Options | 4-3 |
| 4-2. | Plate and Frame Filtration (Sludge Stream) Land Requirement Curve - All Metals Options | 4-4 |
| 4-3. | Plate and Frame Filtration (Sludge Stream) O&M Cost Curve - Metals Options 2 and 3 | 4-6 |
| 4-4. | Plate and Frame Filtration (Sludge Stream) O&M Upgrade Cost Curve - Metals Options 2 and 3 | 4-8 |
| 4-5. | Plate and Frame Filtration (Sludge Stream) O&M Cost Curve - Metals Option 4 | 4-10 |

LIST OF FIGURES (cont.)

| | | |
|------|--|------|
| 4-6. | Plate and Frame Filtration (Sludge Stream) O&M Upgrade Cost Curve - Metals Option 4 | 4-12 |
| 4-7. | Filter Cake Disposal Cost Curve for Plate and Frame Filtration Systems - Metals Options 2 and 3 | 4-16 |
| 4-8. | Filter Cake Disposal Upgrade Cost Curve for Plate and Frame Filtration Systems - Metals Options 2 and 3 | 4-16 |

DETAILED COSTING DOCUMENT FOR THE CWT POINT SOURCE CATEGORY

In this document, EPA presents the costs estimated for compliance with the proposed CWT effluent limitations guidelines and standards. Section 1 provides a general description of how the individual treatment technology and regulatory option costs were developed. In Sections 2 through 4, EPA describes the development of costs for each of the wastewater and sludge treatment technologies.

In Section 5, EPA presents additional compliance costs to be incurred by facilities, which are not technology specific. These additional items are retrofit costs, monitoring costs, RCRA permit modification costs, and land costs.

SECTION 1 COSTS DEVELOPMENT

1.1 Technology Costs

EPA obtained cost information for the technologies selected from the following sources:

- the data base developed from the 1991 Waste Treatment Industry (WTI) Questionnaire responses (This contained some process cost information, and was used wherever possible.),
- technical information developed for EPA rulemaking efforts such as the guidelines and standards for: the Organic Chemicals, Plastics, and Synthetic Fibers (OCPSF) category, Metal Products and Machinery (MP&M) category, and Industrial Laundries industries category,
- engineering literature,
- the CWT sampling/model facilities, and
- vendors' quotations (used extensively in estimating the cost of the various technologies).

The total costs developed by EPA include the capital costs of investment, annual O&M costs, land requirement costs, sludge disposal costs, monitoring costs, RCRA permit modification costs, and retrofit costs. Because 1989 is the base year for the WTI Questionnaire, EPA scaled all of the costs either up or down to 1989 dollars using the Engineering News Record (ENR) Construction Cost Index.

EPA based the capital costs for the technologies primarily on vendors' quotations. The standard factors used to estimate the capital costs are listed in Table 1-1. Equipment costs typically include the cost of the treatment unit and some ancillary equipment associated with that technology. Other investment costs in addition to the equipment cost include piping, instrumentation and controls, pumps, installation, engineering, delivery, and contingency.

Table 1-1. Standard Capital Cost Algorithm

| Factor | Capital Cost |
|--------------------------------|---|
| Equipment Cost | Technology-Specific Cost |
| Installation | 25 to 55 percent of Equipment Cost |
| Piping | 31 to 66 percent of Equipment Cost |
| Instrumentation and Controls | 6 to 30 percent of Equipment Cost |
| <i>Total Construction Cost</i> | Equipment + Installation + Piping + Instrumentation and Controls |
| Engineering | 15 percent of Total Construction Cost |
| Contingency | 15 percent of Total Construction Cost |
| <i>Total Indirect Cost</i> | Engineering + Contingency |
| <i>Total Capital Cost</i> | Total Construction Cost + Total Indirect Cost |

EPA estimated certain design parameters for costing purposes. One such parameter is the flow rate used to size many of the treatment technologies. EPA used the total daily flow in all cases, unless specifically stated. The total daily flow represents the annual flow divided by 260, the standard number of operating days for a CWT per year.

EPA derived the annual O&M costs for the various systems from vendors' information or from engineering literature, unless otherwise stated. The annual O&M costs represent the costs of maintenance, taxes and insurance, labor, energy, treatment chemicals (if needed), and residuals management (also if needed). Table 1-2 lists the standard factors EPA used to estimate the O&M costs.

Sections 2 through 4 present cost equations for capital costs, O&M costs, and land requirements for each technology and option. EPA also developed capital cost upgrade and O&M

cost upgrade equations. EPA used these equations for facilities which already have the treatment technology forming the basis of the option (or some portion of the treatment technology) in-place.

Table 1-2. Standard Operation and Maintenance Cost Factor Breakdown

| Factor | O&M Cost (1989 \$/YR) |
|---|---|
| Maintenance | 4 percent of Total Capital Cost |
| Taxes and Insurance | 2 percent of Total Capital Cost |
| Labor | \$30,300 to \$31,200 per man-year |
| Electricity | \$0.08 per kilowatt-hour |
| Chemicals: | |
| Lime (Calcium Hydroxide) | \$57 per ton |
| Polymer | \$3.38 per pound |
| Sodium Hydroxide (100 percent solution) | \$560 per ton |
| Sodium Hydroxide (50 percent solution) | \$275 per ton |
| Sodium Hypochlorite | \$0.64 per pound |
| Sulfuric Acid | \$80 per ton |
| Aries Tek Ltd Cationic Polymer | \$1.34 per pound |
| Ferrous Sulfate | \$0.09 per pound |
| Hydrated Lime | \$0.04 per pound |
| Sodium Sulfide | \$0.30 per pound |
| Residuals Management | Technology-Specific Cost |
| <i>Total O&M Cost</i> | Maintenance + Taxes and Insurance + Labor + Electricity + Chemicals + Residuals |

1.2 Option Costs

EPA developed engineering costs for each of the individual treatment technologies which comprise the CWT regulatory options. These technology-specific costs are broken down into capital, O&M, and land components. To estimate the cost of an entire regulatory option, it is necessary to sum the costs of the individual treatment technologies which make up that option. In a few instances, an option consists of only one treatment technology; for those cases, the option cost is obviously equal to the technology cost. The CWT subcategory technology options are shown in Table 1-3. The treatment technologies included in each option are listed, and the subsections which contain the corresponding cost information are indicated.

EPA generally calculated the capital and O&M costs for each of the individual treatment technologies using a flow rate range of 1 gallon per day to five million gallons per day. However, the flow rate ranges recommended for use in the equations are in a smaller range and are presented for each cost equation in Sections 11.2 through 11.4 of the Development Document for the CWT Point Source Category.

Table 1-3. CWT Treatment Technology Costing Index - A Guide to the Costing Methodology Sections

| Subcategory/ Option | Treatment Technology | Section |
|------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------|
| Metals 2 | Selective Metals Precipitation | 2.1.1 |
| | Plate and Frame Liquid Filtration | 2.2.1 |
| | Secondary Chemical Precipitation | 2.1.2 |
| | Clarification | 2.2.2 |
| | Plate and Frame Sludge Filtration | 4.1 |
| | Filter Cake Disposal | 4.2 |

| Subcategory/ Option | Treatment Technology | Section |
|----------------------------|---|---------|
| Metals 3 | Selective Metals Precipitation | 2.1.1 |
| | Plate and Frame Liquid Filtration | 2.2.1 |
| | Secondary Chemical Precipitation | 2.1.2 |
| | Clarification | 2.2.2 |
| | Tertiary Chemical Precipitation and pH Adjustment | 2.1.3 |
| | Clarification | 2.2.2 |
| | Plate and Frame Sludge Filtration | 4.1 |
| Metals 4 | Filter Cake Disposal | 4.2 |
| | Primary Chemical Precipitation | 2.1.4 |
| | Clarification | 2.2.2 |
| | Secondary (Sulfide) Chemical Precipitation | 2.1.5 |
| | Secondary Clarification (for Direct Dischargers Only) | 2.2.2 |
| | Multi-Media Filtration | 2.5 |
| | Plate and Frame Sludge Filtration * | 4.1 |
| Metals - | | |
| Cyanide Waste Pretreatment | Cyanide Destruction at Special Operating Conditions | 2.6 |
| Oils 8 | Dissolved Air Flotation | 2.8 |
| | Dissolved Air Flotation | 2.8 |
| | Air Stripping | 2.4 |
| Oils 9 | Secondary Gravity Separation | 2.7 |
| | Dissolved Air Flotation | 2.8 |
| | Secondary Gravity Separation | 2.7 |
| Oils 9v | Dissolved Air Flotation | 2.8 |
| | Air Stripping | 2.4 |
| | | |
| Organics 4 | Equalization | 2.3 |
| | Sequencing Batch Reactor | 3.1 |
| Organics 3 | Equalization | 2.3 |
| | Sequencing Batch Reactor | 3.1 |
| | Air Stripping | 2.4 |

* Metals Option 4 sludge filtration includes filter cake disposal.

1.2.1 Land Requirements and Costs

EPA calculated land requirements for each piece of new equipment based on the equipment dimensions. The land requirements include the total area needed for the equipment plus peripherals (pumps, controls, access areas, etc.). Additionally, EPA included a 20-foot perimeter around each unit. In the cases where adjacent tanks or pieces of equipment were required, EPA used a 20-foot perimeter for each piece of equipment, and configured the geometry to give the minimum area requirements possible. The land requirement equations for each technology are presented throughout Sections 2 to 4. EPA then multiplied the land requirements by the corresponding land costs (as detailed in 5.4) to obtain facility specific land cost estimates.

1.2.2 Operation and Maintenance Costs

EPA based O&M costs on estimated energy usage, maintenance, labor, taxes and insurance, and chemical usage cost. With the principal exception of chemical usage and labor costs, EPA calculated the O&M costs using a single methodology. This methodology is relatively consistent for each treatment technology, unless specifically noted otherwise.

EPA's energy usage costs include electricity, lighting, and controls. EPA estimated electricity requirements at 0.5 kWhr per 1,000 gallons of wastewater treated. EPA assumed lighting and controls to cost \$1,000 per year and electricity cost \$0.08 per kWhr. Manufacturers' recommendations form the basis of these estimates.

EPA based maintenance, taxes, and insurance on a percentage of the total capital cost as detailed in Table 1-2.

Chemical usage and labor requirements are technology specific. These costs are detailed for each specific technology according to the index given in Table 1-3.